

DISTRICT COUNCIL - APPROVED SUMMARY OF THE JANUARY 27, 2014, MEETING

Present	District Council Member	Representing:
X	Abdeljabbar, Wael	Faculty, Academic Senate President, MC
	Carbonara, Charles	Student, Associated Student Organization President, WVC
X	Chim, Ngoc	(non-voting) Director of Fiscal Services
X	Crumley, Max	Faculty, WVC
X	Davis, Brad	Administrator, WVC President
X	Espinosa, Brigit	Administrator, Managers Association Representative
X	Farnsworth, Cheryl	Administrator, District Administrative Services Representative
X	Johnson, Tracy	(non-voting) Recorder
X	Peck, Daniel	Administrator, MC President
X	Kinley, Laurel	Classified, Classified Senate President, WVC
	Maduli, Ed	(non-voting) Vice Chancellor, Administrative Services
X	McMahon, Barbara	Classified, WVMCEA President
X	Moore, Albert	(non-voting) Facilitator, and Interim Associate Vice Chancellor of Human Resources
	Pape, Eric	Faculty, Academic Senate President, WVC
	Pavao, Rod	Faculty, ACE President
	Randle, Sarah	Classified, Classified Senate President, MC
X	Schmitt, Patrick	(non-voting) Chancellor
X	McGowan, Sean for Schultz, Rose	Classified, District Administrative Services Representative
	Tran, Luan	Student, Associated Student Government President, MC
	Vacant	Student, MC
	Vacant	Student, WVC
<u>Others</u>		Randy Castello, Pat Fenton, Mina Jahan, Tim Karas, Leandra Martin, Barbara Ogilvie, Ron Smith

The meeting was called to order at 1:34 p.m. by facilitator Albert Moore.

1. Order of Agenda

Action Taken: It was M/S/P (Abdeljabbar/Kinley) to approve the order of the agenda.

2. Oral Communications

There were none.

3. Approval of the Minutes of the January 13, 2014, Meeting

Recorder Tracy Johnson announced that approval of the January 13, 2014, meeting summary would take place at the February 10, 2014, meeting.

4. Presentation Regarding Banner Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) Software

Chancellor Schmitt explained that the District is considering the purchase of new Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) software. An ERP is an integrated software package for managing a large and complex organization, such as a company or an educational institution. Typical components or modules of a college ERP are Student Administration, Finance, Payroll, Human Resources, Facilities, and Advancement. The

District's current

Dr. Mina Jahan asked whether Banner is a turnkey product or whether it is module by module. Ellucian replied that it should not be considered a turnkey product. WVM would have to make a series of implementation decisions and the product would then be architected accordingly. It would be possible to bring up 'modules' or subsequent versions independently. Chancellor Schmitt said it is not his intention to do a piecemeal implementation. He is looking for a complete package that can be implemented all at once.

In response to a question regarding the transition from Datatel to Banner, Ellucian replied that Banner is based on an Oracle back-end database. He indicated that one of Ellucian's advantages is that it has staff expertise in both Datatel and Banner, and the company is dedicated to making the transition smooth and has the staff expertise to make it happen.

Administrative Services representative Cheryl Farnsworth asked about product management. Mr. Mauskar replied that Ellucian has a world-class product management system. He invited attendees to visit the company's website at ellucian.com to read the 'roadmaps' for detailed information.

West Valley College Classified Senate President Laurel Kinley said that classified staff will be one of the heaviest end-user groups and training is a great concern. She asked how the training would work: would IS be trained and then train the end-users or is some other method used? The response was that training is segmented and based on the context of the individual's job. For instance, end-users will get training that is specific to their tasks and power-users will get training on the modules they support. Training will be based on an individual's need to use the system. There is a subscription library of on-demand training material to address the ongoing needs in any organization.

In response to a final question, Mr. Mauskar said that implantation schedules vary, but a general implementation time-frame would be 24 – 30 months.

The Ellucian representatives concluded by thanking District Council members for the opportunity to address the group.

5. Review of the February 4, 2014, Draft Board Agenda

Chancellor Schmitt indicated that the Focus Topic of the February 4 meeting is the Affordable Care Act. Representatives of the District's Insurance Consultants, BB&T, will provide an update on the Affordable Health Care Act and how it affects West Valley-Mission Community College District. He encouraged all District Council members to attend the meeting and asked that they strongly encourage members of their constituent groups to attend, also.

were made to the 'Qualifications' section. The position description will return at the February 10

Mission College FTES Tracking Report

Last updated 8/23/14

RESIDENT CREDIT FTES

Resource Group	Resident	Target	Actual	Difference
0000	2,900	2,900	2,900	0
0001	1,500	1,500	1,500	0
0002	1,400	1,400	1,400	0
Total	5,800	5,800	5,800	0

RESIDENT NONCREDIT FTES

Term	Res Noncredit	Resident Noncredit	Difference From
Fall 2013	250	250	0
Spring 2014	250	250	0
Total	500	500	0


NON-RESIDENT CREDIT FTES

Term	Non-Resident	Resident	Total
Fall 2013	1,000	1,500	2,500
Spring 2014	1,000	1,500	2,500
Total	2,000	3,000	5,000


ANNUAL TARGETS

Category	Actual	Target	Difference From Target
State Appropriate Annual Total	5,800	5,800	0
Aggregate Total	5,800	5,800	0

Aggregate Total



State Apportionment



Source: estimates based on WWSU data warehouse annual reports; includes estimates of Positive Action
 Estimates may over-estimate or under-estimate some areas; only use the 320 report for actuals
 Noncredit refers to CE Noncredit; UG's included in the credit total.

MC ORPIE

Mission College FTES Tracking Report

Last updated 8/23/16

RESIDENT CREDIT FTES

Resource Group	Resident	Target	Actual	Difference
0000	2,900	2,900	2,900	0
0001	1,500	1,500	1,500	0
0002	1,400	1,400	1,400	0
Total	5,800	5,800	5,800	0

RESIDENT NONCREDIT FTES

Term	Res Noncredit	Resident Noncredit	Difference From
Fall 2014	250	250	0
Spring 2015	250	250	0
Total	500	500	0


NON-RESIDENT CREDIT FTES

Term	Non-Resident	Resident	Total
Fall 2014	1,000	1,000	2,000
Spring 2015	1,000	1,000	2,000
Total	2,000	2,000	4,000


ANNUAL TARGETS

Category	Actual	Target	Difference From Target
State Appointed	5,800	5,800	0
Aggregation Total	5,800	5,800	0

Aggregate Total



State Apportionment



Source: estimates based on WWSU data warehouse and merit reports; includes estimates of Positive Action. Estimates may over-estimate or under-estimate some areas; only use the 320 report for actuals. Noncredit refers to CE Noncredit; UG's included in the credit total.

MC ORPIE